

WIKIPEDIA-BASED KERNELS FOR DIALOGUE TOPIC TRACKING

Seokhwan Kim, Rafael E. Banchs, Haizhou Li

Human Language Technology Department
Institute for Infocomm Research
Singapore 138632
{kims, rembanchs, hli}@i2r.a-star.edu.sg

ABSTRACT

Dialogue topic tracking aims to segment on-going dialogues into topically coherent sub-dialogues and predict the topic category for each next segment. This paper proposes a kernel method for dialogue topic tracking to utilize various types of information obtained from Wikipedia. The experimental results show that our proposed approach can significantly improve the performances of the task in mixed-initiative human-human dialogues.

Index Terms— Spoken Dialogue Systems, Dialogue Topic Tracking, Kernel Methods, Wikipedia

1. INTRODUCTION

During the past few years, spoken dialogue systems have come into the spotlight as a next-generation user interface, since they are based on the most natural way for human-human communications. However, the practical use of these systems is still limited. One of the reasons is that the majority of previous work on spoken dialogue technologies has focused on dealing with a single target task. Although some approaches for handling multi-domain or multi-task dialogues have been proposed [1, 2, 3], these studies have aimed at choosing the most probable system among the candidates, each of which is independently built for an individual task from others. Since human communications in real-world situations consist of a series of multiple topics holding conversational coherence, spoken dialogue systems have to be able to track the topic sequence considering dialogue contexts for more natural conversations.

Some researchers [4, 5, 6] attempted to solve this dialogue topic identification task by considering it as a text categorization problem for the recognized utterances in a turn. The major obstacle to the success of these approaches results from the differences between written texts and spoken utterances. In most text categorization tasks, the proper category for each textual unit can be assigned only with its contents themselves. However, the dialogue topic at each turn can be determined not only by the user’s intentions captured from the given utterances, but also by the system’s decisions

for dialogue management purposes. Thus, the effectiveness of these text categorization approaches can be limited only for the user-initiative cases when users tend to mention the topic-related expressions explicitly in their utterances. Furthermore, given that it is impossible to refer to following conversations after each turn in an ongoing dialogue, the dialogue topic should be identified in an online manner only with the already mentioned utterances that are available at the current turn. That is substantially different from the traditional topic detection tasks considering both forward and backward features all over the given text document.

The other direction of dialogue topic tracking approaches made use of external knowledge sources including domain models [7], heuristics [8], and agendas [9, 10]. These knowledge-based methods have the advantage for tracking the topics of system-initiative dialogues, because dialogue flows can be controlled by the system based on given resources. However, this aspect can cause the limited flexibility to handle the user’s responses which are contradictory to the flow suggested based on the resources. Moreover, these approaches necessarily face cost problems for building a sufficient amount of resources to cover broad states of complex dialogues, because these resources should be manually prepared by human experts for each specific domain.

In this paper, we propose a kernel method utilizing various types of information obtained from Wikipedia for dialogue topic tracking. Since huge amount of contents have been already created by collaborative efforts and are freely available from Wikipedia, our proposed approach aims to improve performances of topic tracking in mixed-initiative dialogues without significant costs for building resources.

2. DIALOGUE TOPIC TRACKING

Dialogue topic tracking is divided into two sequential sub-tasks: segmenting a dialogue session into topically coherent sub-dialogues and identifying the next topic category at each time of topic transition, each of which can be conceptually considered to be a classification problem. The first classifier for dialogue segmentation determines whether a topic transi-

t	Speaker	Utterance	f_1	f_2
1	Tourist	Can you recommend some good places to visit in Singapore?	1	Attraction
	Guide	Well if you like to visit an icon of Singapore, Merlion park will be a nice place to visit.		
2	Tourist	Merlion is a symbol for Singapore, right?	0	
	Guide	Yes, we use that to symbolise Singapore.		
3	Tourist	Okay.	0	
	Guide	The lion head symbolised the founding of the island and the fish body just symbolised the humble fishing village.		
4	Tourist	How can I get there from Orchard Road?	1	Transportation
	Guide	You can take the north-south line train from Orchard Road and stop at Raffles Place station.		
5	Tourist	Is this walking distance from the station to the destination?	0	
	Guide	Yes, it'll take only ten minutes on foot.		
6	Tourist	Alright.	1	Food
	Guide	Well, you can also enjoy some seafoods near the place.		
7	Tourist	What food do you have any recommendations to try there?	0	
	Guide	If you like spicy foods, you must try chilli crab which is one of our favourite dishes here in Singapore.		
8	Tourist	Great! I'll try that.	0	

Fig. 1. Examples of dialogue topic tracking on Singapore tour guide dialogues

tion occurs at each turn as follows:

$$f_1(x_t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if a topic transition occurs in } x_t \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where x_t is the input features obtained at a turn t .

For each turn with the positive result from this binary classification, the most likely topic category to which the next segment belongs after the transition is predicted by the following multi-label classification: $f_2(x_t) = y_t$, where $y_t \in C$ and C is a closed set of topic categories.

Figure 1 shows an example of dialogue topic tracking following these two phases of cascaded classifications in a given dialogue fragment on Singapore tour guide domain between a tourist and a guide. This conversation is divided into three segments, since f_1 detects three topic transitions at t_1 , t_4 and t_6 . Then, the results of f_2 for these points indicate that this dialogue has a topic sequence of ‘Attraction’, ‘Transportation’, and ‘Food’.

3. WIKIPEDIA-BASED KERNELS FOR DIALOGUE TOPIC TRACKING

The models for both subtasks of dialogue topic tracking can be built on the training dataset using supervised machine learning techniques. The simplest approach to learn the classifiers is based on the vector space model [11], which considers bag-of-words for the terms within the given utterances. An instance for each turn is represented by a weighted term vector defined as follows:

$$\phi(x) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{|W|}) \in R^{|W|},$$

where $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=0}^h (\lambda^j \cdot tfidf(w_i, u_{(t-j)}))$, u_t is the utterance mentioned in a turn t , $tfidf(w_i, u_t)$ is the product of term frequency of a word w_i in u_t and inverse document frequency of w_i , λ is a decay factor for giving more importance to more recent turns, $|W|$ is the size of word dictionary, and h is the number of previous turns considered as dialogue history features. Since each word is considered as an independent and identical unit for representing a given instance, this

approach has limited ability to take into account the semantic or domain-specific aspects that can play a decisive role for topic tracking.

To overcome this limitation, we propose to leverage on Wikipedia as an external knowledge source that can be obtained without significant effort toward building resources for topic tracking. Recently, some researchers [12, 13] have shown the feasibility of using Wikipedia knowledge to build dialogue systems. While each of these studies mainly focuses only on a single type of information including category relatedness or hyperlink connectedness, our proposed approach aims at incorporating different knowledge obtained from Wikipedia into the models using kernel methods.

The fundamental goal of kernel methods is to map the data into a higher dimensional feature space with the ability to improve the classification performances. According to the previous work on Wikipedia-based semantic kernels for text classification [14], an extended feature space is defined by concatenating the concept space with the previous term vector space as follows:

$$\phi'(x) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{|W|}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{|D|}) \in R^{|W|+|D|},$$

where β_i is the semantic relatedness between the input x and the concept in the i -th Wikipedia article and $|D|$ is the number of articles in the Wikipedia collection. The value for β_i is computed with the cosine similarity between term vectors as follows:

$$\beta_i = \text{sim}(x, d_i) = \cos(\theta) = \frac{\phi(x) \cdot d_i}{|\phi(x)| |d_i|},$$

where d_i is the term vector composed from the i -th Wikipedia article in the collection.

Then, each extended vector can be transformed into a new space with $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi'(x)S$, where S is the transformation matrix defined as follows:

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ s(d_{i-|W|}, d_{j-|W|}), & \text{else if } i > |W| \text{ and } j > |W| \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $s(d_i, d_j)$ is the relatedness between d_i and d_j . As illustrated in Figure 2, each value in the concept space is updated by the matrix multiplication as $\tilde{\beta}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{|D|} (s(d_i, d_j) \cdot \beta_j)$, which utilizes the relationships between a given concept and all the others in the collection.

This aspect enables the topic tracking models to consider various types of domain knowledge that encodes the relatedness among the given concepts. In this work, each value $s(d_i, d_j)$ is computed based on the following five types of information derived from Wikipedia: category relatedness, category overlap score, contents similarity, co-occurrence frequency, and geographical closeness.

Every concept in the Wikipedia belongs to one or more categories. Since all categories are organized in hierarchical

4. EVALUATION

To demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed kernel method for dialogue topic tracking, we performed experiments on the Singapore tour guide dialogues which consists of 35 dialogue sessions collected from real human-human mixed initiative conversations related to Singapore between guides and tourists. All the recorded dialogues with the total length of 21 hours were manually transcribed, then these transcribed dialogues with 19,651 utterances were manually segmented into 1,642 topically-meaningful segments and annotated with nine topic categories: Opening, Closing, Itinerary, Accommodation, Attraction, Food, Transportation, Shopping, and Other.

Since we aim at developing the system which acts as a guide communicating with tourist users, each instance for segmentation was created for each turn of tourists with the binary label indicating topic transition. Then, the topic prediction instances labeled with next topic categories were also prepared for the tourists’ turns at segment boundaries. The term vector of a given instance was generated from the utterances in current user turn, previous system turn, and history turns within the window sizes $h = 10$ for segmentation and $h = 2$ for topic prediction. The values for its concept space were computed based on 3,155 articles related to Singapore collected from Wikipedia database dump as of February 2013. Totally, 8,318 and 1,607 instances were used for training the models for user-turn-level segmentation and dialogue-segment-level topic prediction tasks, respectively.

We trained the SVM models for both subtasks using LibSVM [16] with the following seven different kernels. BOW is a baseline model using linear Kernel with bag-of-words. WK₀ uses the expanded vector $\phi'(x)$ without transformation. The other models WK₁, \dots , WK₅ are based on our proposed Wikipedia-based kernel method with incrementally combined relatedness scores. The score for each WK _{n} is defined as $s(d_i, d_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n (s_k(d_i, d_j)) / n$. The multi-label classifications for topic prediction were performed with one-against-all strategy. All the evaluations were done in five-fold cross validation to the manual annotations with the metrics of precision, recall, and F-measure for segmentation and accuracy for topic prediction.

Table 1 compares the performances of the seven models in topic segmentation, prediction, and cascaded process of two subtasks. The results indicate that our proposed kernel methods based on Wikipedia achieved significant performances improvements for all the tasks. Especially, WK₅, the kernel incorporating all the defined scores, outputted the best results compared to the other models. It obtained higher segmentation performances than the bag-of-words model by 3.16 in F-measures; and it also outperformed the baseline in topic prediction by 28.16% in accuracy. These improved models in both separate subtasks finally led to better results in the overall topic tracking process performed by cascading of two

Table 1. Comparison of dialogue topic tracking performances

Method	segmentation			prediction	cascade
	P	R	F	acc	acc
BOW	30.47	25.68	27.87	21.31	20.83
WK0	31.08	24.62	27.48	42.70	24.10
WK1	33.92	27.71	30.50	49.02	26.69
WK2	33.94	27.94	30.65	49.40	25.68
WK3	34.07	28.01	30.74	49.25	25.32
WK4	34.09	28.16	30.85	49.17	27.96
WK5	34.12	28.46	31.03	49.47	28.87

Table 2. Distributions of errors

Error types	user-initiative	system-initiative
Segmentation (False Negative)	403	557
Segmentation (False Positive)	286	431
Prediction	251	420
Total	940	1,408

subtasks. The difference in cascaded performances between the baseline and the final model was 8.04% in accuracy.

However, these improved performances still do not seem to be high enough for practical uses in dialogue systems. To investigate the reason for these poor performances, we analyzed the errors on the cascaded process with the final model. The distributions of errors in Table 2 show that 71.4% of errors resulted from segmentation and 60.0% of errors occurred for the topic shifts initiated by systems; and as many as 42.1% of the errors belonged to the intersection of these two categories. It suggests that the detection of system-initiative topic transitions is critical for dialogue topic tracking.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presented a Wikipedia-based kernel method for dialogue topic tracking. This approach aimed to incorporate various types of information obtained from Wikipedia into the models. Experimental results show that the proposed Wikipedia kernels helped to improve both segmentation and prediction performances in mixed-initiative dialogues than the baseline model.

However, we expect that our methods can be further improved in future work. First, we can consider other ways of determining the parameters which were manually assigned in this work. If we discover much more optimized parameters, they can raise the performances. The other direction of our future work is to derive more various types of knowledge from Wikipedia for dialogue topic tracking. We plan to investigate what kinds of additional features in Wikipedia can contribute to improve the performances especially in segmentation of system-initiative topic transitions.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] B. Lin, H. Wang, and L. Lee, "A distributed architecture for cooperative spoken dialogue agents with coherent dialogue state and history," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*, 1999.
- [2] S. Ikeda, K. Komatani, T. Ogata, H. G. Okuno, and H. G. Okuno, "Extensibility verification of robust domain selection against out-of-grammar utterances in multi-domain spoken dialogue system.," in *Proceedings of the 9th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association (INTERSPEECH)*, 2008, pp. 487–490.
- [3] A. Celikyilmaz, D. Hakkani-Tür, and G. Tür, "Approximate inference for domain detection in spoken language understanding.," in *Proceedings of the 12th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association (INTERSPEECH)*, 2011, pp. 713–716.
- [4] T. Nakata, S. Ando, and A. Okumura, "Topic detection based on dialogue history," in *Proceedings of the 19th international conference on Computational linguistics (COLING)*, 2002, pp. 1–7.
- [5] K. Lagus and J. Kuusisto, "Topic identification in natural language dialogues using neural networks," in *Proceedings of the 3rd SIGdial workshop on Discourse and dialogue*, 2002, pp. 95–102.
- [6] P. H. Adams and C. H. Martell, "Topic detection and extraction in chat," in *Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE International Conference on Semantic Computing*, 2008, pp. 581–588.
- [7] S. Roy and L. V. Subramaniam, "Automatic generation of domain models for call centers from noisy transcriptions," in *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Computational Linguistics and the 44th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2006, pp. 737–744.
- [8] S. Young, J. Schatzmann, K. Weilhammer, and H. Ye, "The hidden information state approach to dialog management," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*.
- [9] D. Bohus and A. Rudnicky, "Ravenclaw: dialog management using hierarchical task decomposition and an expectation agenda," in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Speech, Communication and Technology*, 2003, pp. 597–600.
- [10] C. Lee, S. Jung, and G. G. Lee, "Robust dialog management with n-best hypotheses using dialog examples and agenda.," in *Proceedings of the 46th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, 2008, pp. 630–637.
- [11] G. Salton, A. Wong, and C.S. Yang, "A vector space model for automatic indexing," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 613–620, 1975.
- [12] G. Wilcock, "Wikitalk: a spoken wikipedia-based open-domain knowledge access system," in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Question Answering for Complex Domains*, 2012, p. 5770.
- [13] A. Breuing, U. Waltinger, and I. Wachsmuth, "Harvesting wikipedia knowledge to identify topics in ongoing natural language dialogs," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology (WI-IAT)*, 2011, pp. 445–450.
- [14] P. Wang and C. Domeniconi, "Building semantic kernels for text classification using wikipedia," in *Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, 2008, pp. 713–721.
- [15] Z. Wu and M. Palmer, "Verbs semantics and lexical selection," in *Proceedings of the 32nd annual meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics*, 1994, pp. 133–138.
- [16] C. C. Chang and C. J. Lin, "Libsvm: a library for support vector machines," *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 27, 2011.